Conflicts of Interest Inhibiting Fair and Adequate Representation for Athletes within NCAA Member Institutions

Main Administrators, Athletics Staff, and Groups Available to Athletes on Campus			
Title(s)	Main Role	Conflict of Interest or Barrier to	
		Adequately Representing Athletes	
President or	Ultimate responsibility and final	Varying levels of control over the athletic	
Chancellor	authority for the conduct of the	department, depending on the school, the	
	intercollegiate athletics program and	success of the program, and how the	
	the actions of any board in control of	athletic department functions within the	
	that program. Primary executive authority for an institution. (NCAA	school system. Often lack interest and/or knowledge of the inner-workings of the	
	Const. Art. 6)	athletic department. Heavily concerned	
	Const. Art. of	with school's reputation and donors.	
Athletics Board (not	Advising or establishing athletics	These are individuals employed by the	
required by NCAA,	policies and making policy decisions.	school full-time, and may act in the	
but suggested in	(NCAA Const. Art. 6)	interest of keeping their jobs over working	
		for the best interest of athletes. Board	
manual)		members are often not very accessible (or	
		well-known), and it is often comprised of	
		faculty members who have limited	
Athletic Diverter	Responsible for overseeing athletic	understanding athletics broadly. Varying levels of control over certain	
Athletic Director	department and fundraising for the	coaches, depending upon the popularity	
	athletic department.	and success of the coach and team.	
	difficult department.	Primary role of fundraising can run	
		counter to interests of athletes. Styles and	
		policies of ADs vary tremendously by	
		school. Range from highly involved and	
		invested in athletes to not easily	
		accessible.	
Associate/Assistant	Primary role within the athletics	Direct conflict of interest as athletic	
Athletic	department, usually with oversight over a specific area and/or sport. (This	department administration. Often under pressure to keep issues discrete and face	
Directors/Team	category is intended to included SIDs	possible ramifications for speaking out	
Sports	and related athletics staff).	possible running out	
Administrator	,		
Faculty Athletics	Member of the institution's faculty or	Usually one of the greatest proponents for	
Representative	administrative staff who is designated	athletes on campus and within the NCAA,	
(FAR)	by the institution's president or	but virtually powerless to override or	
,	chancellor to represent the institution	control decisions made by Presidents,	
	and its faculty in the institution's relationships with the NCAA and its	ADs, or coaches. Responsibilities	
	conference(s) (NCAA Const. Art.4.);	associated with their position and as a faculty member may still run counter to	
	Holds faculty rank and shall not hold	best interests of athletes, and some lack	
	an administrative or coaching position	sufficient understanding of what is really	
	in the athletic department (NCAA	going on within athletics.	
	Const. Art. 6);		

	11.1	11 11 21 1
Senior Woman Administrator (SWA)	Highest-ranking female involved in the management of an institution's intercollegiate athletics program. An institution with a female director of athletics may designate a different female involved with management of the member's program as a fifth representative to the NCAA governance structure. (NCAA Const. Art. 4.02.4) (this is a role at the conference level as well)	Usually very committed to serving athletes, but obvious conflicts of interest as an athletic department administrator. Required to be strategic about addressing issues unrelated to monetary aims of the department, because they are not usually the primary focus of others in leadership and could negatively affect SWA's effectiveness at pushing for certain changes.
Coaches	Responsible for the actions of all institutional staff members who report, directly or indirectly to the head coach (NCAA Bylaw 11.1.1.1)	Obvious conflicts of interest in most cases. Some are truly look out for their players and help fight certain issues related to loss of eligibility and certain waivers. Coaches possess most of the power over athletes because they control playing time, scholarships, and team culture.
Trainers	Assigned to each sport to look after a wide range of injury-related and overall health needs of athletes.	Typically know the most about what is going on within individual teams. Generally, one of the best advocates for athletes, however, limited by lack of power and duty to report to coaches.
Medical Personnel	Doctors and specialists. A team physician is required to be assigned to each team, who is either an MD or DO, with a current license in good standing to practice medicine in the state in which institution is located. Authorized to oversee the medical services for injuries and all illnesses incidental to a student-athlete's participation in intercollegiate athletics	Limited contact with athletes, and often avoided by players who fear being removed from competition due to injury. Virtually no power to (and often no interest in) advocating for players, except sometimes where injuries are concerned. Degree of care varies widely between universities and doctors are often assigned to specific teams.
Sports Psychologist	Individual available to individual athletes and teams to address issues related to mental health, performance issues, teambuilding, etc.	Can be helpful for certain issues, but often reports information to coaches that was shared by players, which erodes trust. Virtually no power within athletic department.
Athletic Academic Support Staff	Athletic department personnel who assist athletes in navigating through college by assisting with class selection, finding tutors, proctoring exams, etc.	Usually very helpful to athletes and a good resource, though they are limited in terms of influence on both the academic and athletic side. They also monitor study hall and sometimes report players to coaches for missing study hall or tutoring sessions. No power over coaches' decisions.
Academic Deans and Professors	Members of the academic faculty.	Usually lack a working understanding of athletics and have limited, if any, power within the athletic department. Often have a biased opinion in favor of, or in opposition to, athletes and sometimes allow that to color their treatment of athletes positively or negatively.

Nutritionist NCAA Staff	Works with teams and individuals to increase performance and health through proper nutrition. Those working at the national office.	Can be helpful, but often works directly with coaches and shares information about nutritional needs of players. Virtually no power within the structure and employed by school Staff overseeing member-driven institution that makes decisions, which often run counter to the best interests of athletes. Lack of trust between NCAA staff
Division I Student- Athlete Advisory Committee (SAAC)	Comprised of 32 members, with one member representing each conference with voting power on the Division I Board of Directors, Division I Council, and Committees. Responsible. Mission: "to enhance the total student-athlete experience by protecting student-athlete well-being, fostering a positive student-athlete image and inclusive student-athlete environment, and promoting student-athlete engagement at the national, conference and local levels." (SAAC Mission Statement)	and athletes/schools. Individual committee members are extremely dedicated to advocating on behalf of athletes, however SAAC lacks sufficient enough power, information, support, and skills to adequately represent athletes. Heavily controlled and influenced by the NCAA liaisons responsible for the committee. Liaisons limit SAAC members' contact with media and other individuals (especially those representing ideas counter to NCAA platforms and propaganda). Insufficient voting power within the NCAA governance structure and often provided misleading information by NCAA staff.
Campus (and Conference) SAACs	Comprised of members of each team on campus (or each school within a conference). Address issues within the institution. Levels of participation among athletics staff varies by institution. In many cases, administrator participation increased after SAAC was granted voting power in 2014. (Required by NCAA, with composition and duties left up to each institution to determine. NCAA Const. Art. 6.1.4)	Depending upon the school or conference, these committees can sometimes have more influence over certain issues on campuses. Inadequately equipped for meaningful representation of individual athletes and overseen by athletics staff (who must still answer to those with interests running counter to athletes).

^{*}Note: There are various other bodies within the structure (e.g., those comprised of coaches, FARs, etc.), but none possess the influence and independence required to adequately represent the best interests of college athletes.